

D2 Barbara Meun

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Marc S. Williams (Bar No. 198913)  
Email: mwilliams@onellp.com  
ONE LLP  
4000 MacArthur Boulevard  
West Tower, Suite 1100  
Newport Beach, CA 92660  
Telephone: (310) 866-5159  
Facsimile: (310) 943-2085

6013  
90401

**FILED**  
Los Angeles Superior Court

OCT 31 2012

John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk  
By SHAUNYA WESLEY, Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiffs,  
MIME Investments, LLC and  
Mind In Motion Entertainment, Inc.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

**BC 494891**

MIME INVESTMENTS, LLC, an Arizona  
limited liability company; MIND IN MOTION  
ENTERTAINMENT, INC., an Arizona  
corporation,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
vs.  
  
GK FILMS, LLC, a California limited liability  
company; PARLAY FILMS, LLC, a California  
limited liability company; LISA WILSON, an  
individual; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,  
  
Defendants.

Case No. **BC 494891**  
**COMPLAINT FOR:**  
**(1) FRAUD, AND**  
**(2) NEGLIGENT**  
**MISREPRESENTATION, AND**  
**[Amount Demanded Exceeds \$25,000]**  
**[UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE]**

**BY FAX**

CIT/CASE: BC494891 LEA/NEF#:  
RECEIPT #: CCR465990103  
DATE PAID: 10/31/12 03:23:06 PM  
PAYMENT: \$435.00 0310  
RECEIVED:  
CHECK: 435.00  
CASH:  
CHANGE:  
CARD:

21121.1

**COMPLAINT**

10/31/2012

1 Plaintiffs, MIME Investments, LLC and Mind In Motion Entertainment, Inc. (collectively  
2 "Plaintiffs"), allege:

3 1. Plaintiff MIME Investments, LLC ("MIME Investments") is a limited liability  
4 company formed under the laws of the State of Arizona with its principal place of business in  
5 Scottsdale, Arizona.

6 2. Plaintiff Mind In Motion Entertainment, Inc. ("MIME Inc.") is a corporation formed  
7 under the laws of the State of Arizona with its principal place of business in Scottsdale, Arizona.

8 3. Defendant GK Films, LLC ("GK Films") is a limited liability company formed  
9 under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business in Santa Monica,  
10 California.

11 4. Defendant Parlay Films, LLC ("Parlay") is a limited liability company formed under  
12 the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business in Santa Monica, California.

13 5. Defendant Lisa Wilson is an individual residing, on information and belief, in Los  
14 Angeles County, California.

15 6. The true names or capacities, whether individual, corporate or otherwise, of the  
16 Defendants named herein as DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiffs, who  
17 therefore sue said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs will ask leave of Court to amend  
18 this Complaint and insert the true names and capacities of DOES when they have been  
19 ascertained.

20 7. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and, on that basis, allege that each of the  
21 Defendants designated herein as a "DOE" is legally responsible in some manner for the events and  
22 happenings herein alleged, and that Plaintiffs' damages as alleged herein were proximately caused  
23 by DOES.

24 8. Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in California because each of them is  
25 domiciled in this state and each has engaged in substantial, continuous and systematic business in  
26 California. In addition, Defendants have purposefully established contacts with California,  
27 Plaintiffs' causes of action arise out of and relate to those contacts, and the exercise of personal  
28 jurisdiction here comports with fair play and substantial justice.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 9. Venue is proper in this county because all Defendants reside here and their liability  
2 arose here.

3 **FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS**

4 10. Plaintiffs assert causes of action against Defendants for fraud and, in the alternative,  
5 negligent misrepresentation, based on Defendants' fraudulent inducement of Plaintiffs to invest  
6 approximately \$4 million in the feature-length motion picture entitled *Little Murder* (the  
7 "Picture"). Plaintiffs have lost the entirety of that investment as a result of Defendants' tortious  
8 conduct.

9 11. Plaintiff MIME Investments is an investor in Little Murder Production Company,  
10 LLC ("ProdCo"), the single-purpose entity that was formed to finance, produce and exploit the  
11 Picture. Plaintiff MIME Inc. is the manager of MIME Investments and also an investor in  
12 ProdCo.

13 12. On information and belief, during the relevant period, Defendant Wilson was  
14 President of International Distribution at Defendant GK Films, a prominent independent  
15 production company founded by Oscar-winning producer Graham King. Wilson was the key  
16 person responsible for selling international distribution rights for the Picture along with and  
17 supported by other employees of GK Films, including Maxine Leonard (Senior Vice President,  
18 Marketing and Publicity, GK Films) and Alex Van Fleet (International Distribution, GK Films).  
19 Wilson told Plaintiffs that she would sell the Picture's international distribution rights under the  
20 banner of Defendant Parlay, which, on information and belief is wholly-owned by, and is the alter  
21 ego of, GK Films. Wilson told Plaintiffs that she would sell the Picture's international distribution  
22 rights as a package with distribution rights for other films being sold by GK Films.

23 13. At the time of their investment, Plaintiffs were new to the film industry. The  
24 success or failure of Plaintiffs' investment in ProdCo hinged entirely on sales of international  
25 distribution rights for the Picture. Defendants knew they possessed superior knowledge over  
26 Plaintiffs regarding the market for the Picture internationally based on the numerous motion  
27 pictures Defendants have produced and distributed around the world. Touting that experience, and  
28 for the purpose of inducing Plaintiffs to invest in the Picture, Defendants intentionally provided

150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162

1 Plaintiffs with overinflated false estimates for the foreign sales of the Picture and provided  
2 Plaintiffs with false assurances that the estimates would be achieved. Defendants unscrupulously  
3 provided these estimates and assurances, despite the fact that they knew or should have known that  
4 the estimates could never be reached.

5 14. Specifically, in May 2009, before commencement of principal photography on the  
6 Picture, Defendants provided Plaintiffs with a spreadsheet that contained a range of sales estimates  
7 for the Picture for dozens of foreign territories. In total, Defendants estimated foreign sales  
8 between \$5,085,000, at the low end of the range, and \$6,770,000, at the high end. Defendants  
9 reaffirmed these exact estimates in November 2009, after the vast majority of principal  
10 photography on the Picture had been completed and after Defendants had already shopped the  
11 Picture with a "sizzle reel" at the American Film Market in October 2009. Thereafter, Plaintiffs  
12 proceeded to invest another approximately \$1 million in the Picture to complete principal  
13 photography and post-production, which investment Plaintiffs would not have made had they  
14 known the truth about Defendants' false foreign sales estimates.

15 15. Plaintiffs' reliance on Defendants' estimates and assurances was reasonable.  
16 International distribution of motion pictures is a niche area of expertise in the film industry.  
17 Plaintiffs were new to the business and lacked experience in foreign sales. Defendants, on the  
18 other hand, are experts in international distribution, which they touted to Plaintiffs.

19 16. Ultimately, foreign sales for the Picture have come in grossly below the low-end of  
20 Defendants' range of estimates. Actual foreign sales have missed the low-end estimates by  
21 approximately 80%. As a result, Plaintiffs have lost the entirety of their \$4 million investment.

22 17. On information and belief, Defendants knew from the start that the Picture would  
23 never achieve even the minimum range of the foreign sales estimates. Otherwise, Defendants  
24 would not have continued to provide the very same estimates even after the vast majority of  
25 principal photography had been completed and after taking the sizzle reel to market, where, on  
26 information and belief, Defendants received feedback from prospective purchasers about what  
27 those purchasers would be willing to pay for the Picture's distribution rights. Defendants'  
28 fraudulent intent is further demonstrated by the false excuse Wilson proffered to explain the

10/2012

1 failure of Defendants' foreign sales estimates. Wilson informed Plaintiffs that the initial estimates  
2 could not be achieved because the Picture was not being released domestically in 250 theatres.  
3 Defendants never informed Plaintiffs that the foreign sales estimates were contingent on a 250  
4 theatre domestic release. In fact, Wilson specifically told Plaintiffs that the Picture would only  
5 need to be released in two domestic theatres (a "limited" domestic release). Moreover, on  
6 information and belief, Defendants' foreign sales estimates would not have been realized even  
7 with a 250 domestic theatre release. Defendants have not provided Plaintiffs with any other  
8 explanation for the 80% drop.

9 18. On information and belief, Defendants intentionally provided the overinflated  
10 foreign sales estimates and false assurances for the purpose of fraudulently inducing Plaintiffs to  
11 invest approximately \$4 million in the Picture. Defendants knew that Plaintiffs were new to the  
12 motion picture industry and that Defendants had superior knowledge of the market for the Picture  
13 internationally. On information and belief, Defendants knew that Plaintiffs' investment would fill  
14 a sizeable gap between the film's budget and the loans that could be obtained to make the Picture.  
15 Using Plaintiffs' money to fill the gap, Defendants were able to get the film made and reap  
16 substantial benefits for themselves. In particular, Defendants assured themselves of at least  
17 \$250,000 in upfront fees, plus an opportunity to earn commissions.

18 19. As a proximate cause of Defendants' fraud and/or negligent misrepresentation,  
19 Plaintiffs have lost approximately \$4 million.

20  
21 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

22 **(For Fraud by Plaintiff MIME Investments against All Defendants**  
23 **and by Plaintiff MIME Inc. against Defendants GK Films and Wilson)**

24 20. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate herein by reference the allegations in Paragraphs  
25 1 through 19.

26 21. In approximately May 2009, Defendants provided Plaintiffs with estimates for the  
27 foreign sales of the Picture and assured Plaintiffs that they believed the estimates were solid and  
28 would be achieved within the estimated range. Defendants reaffirmed the May 2009 estimates in

1 November 2009, after the vast majority of principal photography on the Picture had been  
2 completed and after Defendants had already shopped the Picture with a "sizzle reel" at the  
3 American Film Market in October 2009.

4 22. Defendants' foreign sales estimates and assurances were false. On information and  
5 belief, Defendants never believed the estimates would be achieved, and they provided the  
6 estimates and assurances in bad faith intending for Plaintiffs to rely on them in order to induce  
7 Plaintiffs to invest in the Picture.

8 23. Plaintiffs reasonably and justifiably relied on Defendants' foreign sales estimates  
9 and Defendants' assurances that they believed the estimates were solid and would be achieved.

10 24. As a proximate result of Defendants' fraudulent representations, and Plaintiffs'  
11 reasonable reliance on them, Plaintiffs were induced into making an investment of approximately  
12 \$4 million, which Plaintiffs have lost in its entirety. Plaintiffs would not have invested in the  
13 Picture had they known Defendants' foreign sales estimates and assurances were false and made in  
14 bad faith.

15 25. Defendants' false and fraudulent representations constitute the tort of fraudulent  
16 deceit under California Civil Code §§ 1709 and 1710 and actual fraud under California Civil Code  
17 § 1572.

18 26. Defendants acted oppressively, maliciously, with a conscious disregard of the rights  
19 of others, and with the intent to defraud, harass, and annoy Plaintiffs. As a consequence, Plaintiffs  
20 are entitled to punitive damages under California Civil Code § 3294.

21  
22 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

23 **(For Negligent Misrepresentation by Plaintiff MIME Investments against All Defendants**  
24 **and by Plaintiff MIME Inc. against Defendants GK Films and Wilson)**

25 27. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations in Paragraphs  
26 1 through 26.

27 28. At a minimum, Defendants' foreign sales estimates and their assurances about those  
28 estimates were made without any reasonable ground for believing them to be true.

1 29. The representations were made with the intent to induce Plaintiffs to rely upon them  
2 and ultimately to invest approximately \$4 million in the Picture.

3 30. Plaintiffs were unaware of the falsity of Defendants' foreign sales estimates and  
4 Defendants' assurances about those estimates, acted in reliance upon the truth of those  
5 representations, and were justified in relying upon them.

6 31. As a result of Plaintiff's reliance upon the truth of Defendants' representations,  
7 Plaintiffs lost their investment of approximately \$4 million.


8  
9 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

10 Plaintiffs request judgment on each defendant as follows:

- 11 1. For actual damages of \$4 million, plus interest, for Plaintiffs' lost investment;
- 12 2. For further actual damages, plus interest, in an amount to be proven at trial;
- 13 3. For disgorgement in the amount of Defendants' unjust enrichment as a result of their
- 14 fraud;
- 15 4. For punitive damages;
- 16 5. For attorneys' fees and expenses;
- 17 6. For costs of suit; and
- 18 7. For any other appropriate relief.

19  
20 Dated: October 31, 2012

ONE LLP

21  
22 By:   
23 Marc S. Williams  
24 Attorneys for Plaintiffs,  
25 MIME Investments, LLC and  
26 Mind In Motion Entertainment, Inc.  
27  
28

2012  
10  
26  
37  
28

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Marc S. Williams (Bar No. 198913) ONE LLP 4000 MacArthur Blvd., W Tower, Ste. 1100, Newport Beach, CA 92660 mwilliams@onellp.com TELEPHONE NO.: 310-866-5159 FAX NO.: 310-943-2085		FOR COURT USE ONLY <b>FILED</b> Los Angeles Superior Court  OCT 31 2012  John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk By <u>SHAUNYA WESLEY</u> Deputy
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): <b>Plaintiffs</b> SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Los Angeles STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles 20012 BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Courthouse		
CASE NAME: <b>MIME Investments, LLC, et al. v. GK Films, LLC, et al.</b>		CASE NUMBER: <b>BC494891</b>
<b>CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Unlimited</b> (Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Limited</b> (Amount demanded is \$25,000 or less)	<b>Complex Case Designation</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Counter</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Joinder</b> Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	JUDGE: DEPT:

Items 1-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2).

1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case:

<b>Auto Tort</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Auto (22) <input type="checkbox"/> Uninsured motorist (46) <b>Other PI/DPD/WO (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Asbestos (04) <input type="checkbox"/> Product liability (24) <input type="checkbox"/> Medical malpractice (45) <input type="checkbox"/> Other PI/DPD/WO (23) <b>Non-PI/DPD/WO (Other) Tort</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Business tort/unfair business practice (07) <input type="checkbox"/> Civil rights (08) <input type="checkbox"/> Defamation (13) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fraud (16) <input type="checkbox"/> Intellectual property (19) <input type="checkbox"/> Professional negligence (25) <input type="checkbox"/> Other Non-PI/DPD/WO tort (35) <b>Employment</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful termination (36) <input type="checkbox"/> Other employment (15)	<b>Contract</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Breach of contract/warranty (06) <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 3.740 collections (09) <input type="checkbox"/> Other collections (09) <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance coverage (18) <input type="checkbox"/> Other contract (37) <b>Real Property</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eminent domain/inverse condemnation (14) <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful eviction (33) <input type="checkbox"/> Other real property (26) <b>Unlawful Detainer</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial (31) <input type="checkbox"/> Residential (32) <input type="checkbox"/> Drugs (38) <b>Judicial Review</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Asset forfeiture (05) <input type="checkbox"/> Petition re: arbitration award (11) <input type="checkbox"/> Writ of mandate (02) <input type="checkbox"/> Other judicial review (39)	<b>Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) <input type="checkbox"/> Construction defect (10) <input type="checkbox"/> Mass tort (40) <input type="checkbox"/> Securities litigation (28) <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental/Toxic tort (30) <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case types (41) <b>Enforcement of Judgment</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement of judgment (20) <b>Miscellaneous Civil Complaint</b> <input type="checkbox"/> RICO (27) <input type="checkbox"/> Other complaint (not specified above) (42) <b>Miscellaneous Civil Petition</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership and corporate governance (21) <input type="checkbox"/> Other petition (not specified above) (43)
---	--	--

2. This case  is  is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:
- |  |  |
|--|--|
| a. <input type="checkbox"/> Large number of separately represented parties   | d. <input type="checkbox"/> Large number of witnesses  |
| b. <input type="checkbox"/> Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve | e. <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court |
| c. <input type="checkbox"/> Substantial amount of documentary evidence   | f. <input type="checkbox"/> Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision  |
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.  monetary b.  nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c.  punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 2 (fraud; negligent misrepresentation)
5. This case  is  is not a class action suit.
6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.)

Date: October 31, 2012  
 Marc S. Williams  
 (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) 
  
 (SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)

**NOTICE**

- Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions.
- File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.
- If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.
- Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.

Page 1 of 2

BY FAX

10/31/2012

SHORT TITLE: MIME Investments, LLC, et al. v. GK Films, LLC, et al.	CASE NUMBER
--	-------------

**CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND  
STATEMENT OF LOCATION  
(CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)**

**This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.**

**Item I.** Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case:

JURY TRIAL?  YES CLASS ACTION?  YES LIMITED CASE?  YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 5  HOURS/  DAYS

**Item II.** Indicate the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps – If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4):

**Step 1:** After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet form, find the main Civil Case Cover Sheet heading for your case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column **A**, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.

**Step 2:** Check one Superior Court type of action in Column **B** below which best describes the nature of this case.

**Step 3:** In Column **C**, circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have checked. For any exception to the court location, see Local Rule 2.0.

**Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 1. Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, central district. | 6. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.    |
| 2. May be filed in central (other county, or no bodily injury/property damage).  | 7. Location where petitioner resides.                      |
| 3. Location where cause of action arose.   | 8. Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly. |
| 4. Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred.                       | 9. Location where one or more of the parties reside.       |
| 5. Location where performance required or defendant resides.                     | 10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office                  |

**Step 4:** Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

	<b>A</b> Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	<b>B</b> Type of Action (Check only one)	<b>C</b> Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Auto Tort	Auto (22)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
	Uninsured Motorist (46)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Asbestos (04)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6070 Asbestos Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. 2.
	Product Liability (24)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
	Medical Malpractice (45)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons <input type="checkbox"/> A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 4. 1., 4.
	Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)	1., 4.
		<input type="checkbox"/> A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.)	1., 4.
<input type="checkbox"/> A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress		1., 3.	
<input type="checkbox"/> A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death		1., 4.	

**BY FAX**

2012/01/20

SHORT TITLE:

MIME Investments, LLC, et al. v. GK Films, LLC, et al.

CASE NUMBER

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Business Tort (07)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 3.
	Civil Rights (08)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
	Defamation (13)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
	Fraud (16)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.
	Professional Negligence (25)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6017 Legal Malpractice	1., 2., 3.
		<input type="checkbox"/> A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3.
Other (35)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2., 3.	
Employment	Wrongful Termination (36)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
	Other Employment (15)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case	1., 2., 3.
		<input type="checkbox"/> A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	10.
Contract	Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)	2., 5.
		<input type="checkbox"/> A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence)	2., 5.
		<input type="checkbox"/> A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud)	1., 2., 5.
		<input type="checkbox"/> A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)	1., 2., 5.
	Collections (09)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff	2., 5., 6.
		<input type="checkbox"/> A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5.
Insurance Coverage (18)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.	
Other Contract (37)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6009 Contractual Fraud	1., 2., 3., 5.	
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6031 Tortious Interference	1., 2., 3., 5.	
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 8.	
Real Property	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation      Number of parcels _____	2.
	Wrongful Eviction (33)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
	Other Real Property (26)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure	2., 6.
<input type="checkbox"/> A6032 Quiet Title		2., 6.	
<input type="checkbox"/> A6050 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)		2., 6.	
Unlawful Detainer	Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
	Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
	Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6020F Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2., 6.
	Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.

LACIV 109 (Rev. 03/11)

LASC Approved 03-04

**CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM  
AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION**

Local Rule 2.0

Page 2 of 4

10/31/2012

SHORT TITLE:

MIME Investments, LLC, et al. v. GK Films, LLC, et al.

CASE NUMBER

	<b>A</b> Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	<b>B</b> Type of Action (Check only one)	<b>C</b> Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Judicial Review	Asset Forfeiture (05)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
	Petition re Arbitration (11)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.
	Writ of Mandate (02)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus <input type="checkbox"/> A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter <input type="checkbox"/> A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2., 8. 2. 2.
	Other Judicial Review (39)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
	Construction Defect (10)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6007 Construction Defect	1., 2., 3.
	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
	Securities Litigation (28)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6141 Sister State Judgment	2., 9.
		<input type="checkbox"/> A6160 Abstract of Judgment	2., 6.
		<input type="checkbox"/> A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)	2., 9.
		<input type="checkbox"/> A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)	2., 8.
		<input type="checkbox"/> A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax	2., 8.
<input type="checkbox"/> A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 8., 9.		
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	RICO (27)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6030 Declaratory Relief Only	1., 2., 8.
		<input type="checkbox"/> A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment)	2., 8.
		<input type="checkbox"/> A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8.
<input type="checkbox"/> A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8.		
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6121 Civil Harassment	2., 3., 9.
		<input type="checkbox"/> A6123 Workplace Harassment	2., 3., 9.
		<input type="checkbox"/> A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case	2., 3., 9.
		<input type="checkbox"/> A6190 Election Contest	2.
		<input type="checkbox"/> A6110 Petition for Change of Name	2., 7.
<input type="checkbox"/> A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2., 3., 4., 8.		
<input type="checkbox"/> A6100 Other Civil Petition	2., 9.		

SHORT TITLE: MIME Investments, LLC, et al. v. GK Films, LLC, et al.	CASE NUMBER
--	-------------

Item III. Statement of Location: Enter the address of the accident, party's residence or place of business, performance, or other circumstance indicated in Item II., Step 3 on Page 1, as the proper reason for filing in the court location you selected.

<b>REASON: Check the appropriate boxes for the numbers shown under Column C for the type of action that you have selected for this case.</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> 1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. <input type="checkbox"/> 5. <input type="checkbox"/> 6. <input type="checkbox"/> 7. <input type="checkbox"/> 8. <input type="checkbox"/> 9. <input type="checkbox"/> 10.		<b>ADDRESS:</b> 1540 Second Street, Suite 200
<b>CITY:</b> Santa Monica	<b>STATE:</b> CA	<b>ZIP CODE:</b> 90401

Item IV. Declaration of Assignment: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the Stanley Mosk courthouse in the Central District of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles [Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and Local Rule 2.0, subds. (b), (c) and (d)].

Dated: October 31, 2012

  
 (SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)

**PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:**

1. Original Complaint or Petition.
2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
4. Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 03/11).
5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
6. A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

10/31/12